

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
13

14 SHIGE TAKIGUCHI, FUMI NONAKA,) 2:13-cv-01183-HDM-VCF
15 MITSUAKI TAKITA, TATSURO SAKAI,)
16 SHIZUKO ISHIMORI, YUKO NAKAMURA,)
17 MASAAKI MORIYA, HATSUNE HATANO,) ORDER
18 AND HIDENAO TAKAMA, Individually)
19 and on Behalf of All Others)
20 Similarly Situated,)
21 Plaintiffs,)
22 vs.)
23 MRI INTERNATIONAL, INC., EDWIN J)
24 FUJINAGA, JUNZO SUZUKI, PAUL)
25 MUSASHI SUZUKI, LVT, INC., dba)
26 STERLING ESCROW, and DOES 1-500,)
27 Defendants.)
28

24 On January 10, 2017, the court entered an order to show cause
25 why several documents filed in connection with the plaintiffs'
26 motions for summary judgment should not be unsealed and the motions
27 to seal those documents denied (ECF No. 592). No party has filed
28 any response to the court's order, and the time for doing so has

1 expired.

2 Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** the court's order granting the
3 plaintiffs' motion to seal the motion for summary judgment against
4 Junzo Suzuki (ECF No. 531) is hereby **VACATED**, and the plaintiffs'
5 motion to seal (ECF No. 528) is **DENIED**. The motion for summary
6 judgment against Junzo Suzuki (ECF No. 529) shall therefore be
7 **UNSEALED**.

8 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Suzukis' motion to seal their
9 responses to the plaintiff's statement of facts (ECF No. 562) is
10 **DENIED**. The Suzukis' responses to the plaintiffs' statement of
11 facts (ECF Nos. 564 & 567) shall therefore be **UNSEALED**.

12 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the plaintiffs' motion to seal
13 their reply to Junzo Suzuki's responses to the plaintiffs'
14 statement of facts (ECF No. 578) is **DENIED**. The plaintiffs' reply
15 to Junzo Suzuki's response to the plaintiffs' statement of facts
16 (ECF No. 579) shall therefore be **UNSEALED**.

17 DATED: This 24th day of January, 2017.

18 
19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28